
SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 21 January 2019

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 1 FEBRUARY 2019**

25 January 2019

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co- ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for City Services – Monday 21 January 2019

Report 4 Petition – Cannon Hill Road Speed Restriction and Safe Crossing

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners concerns
- 2) Endorse the action confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson (as detailed in paragraph 1.5 of the report).

The above Recommendations have been approved along with the following additional recommendation:

- 3) The ongoing discussions and work regarding future Section 106 funding for additional measures be noted.

Report 5 Petition – Request for a Zebra Crossing on Tile Hill Lane near West Coventry Academy

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners concerns
- 2) Endorse that the action confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson (as detailed in paragraph 1.7 and Appendix B to the report) are undertaken
- 3) The alternative solution as highlighted in paragraph 2.3 of the report be approved.

The above Recommendations have been approved.

Report 6 Petition - Safe Crossing to Co-op Store, Earlsdon Street

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners concerns
- 2) Endorse that the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson (as detailed in paragraphs 1.5 and 1.7 of the report) are undertaken

The above Recommendations have been approved.

Report 7 Objections to Proposed Waiting Restrictions (Variation 7)

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Consider the objections to the proposed waiting restrictions.
- 2) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of the restrictions as advertised at; Ainsdale Close, Aldermans Green Road, John Grace Street, St Christians Road, Thurlstone Road, and Torbay Rd/Kendal Rise.

- 3) Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the restrictions are not implemented on Ashington Grove but the situation is monitored and in the event the Police advise of issues relating to dangerous or obstructive parking occurring, new proposals be advertised.
- 4) Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the proposed double yellow lines are installed as advertised on Babbacombe Road and that a further extension (approx. 8m) to the double yellow lines is advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.
- 5) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the installation in part of the proposals for Benedictine Road/The Monks Croft. Install double yellow lines on the side of the island opposite 77- 83 Benedictine Road and on the radius kerbs. In addition consult residents in regard to the possibility of including The Monks Croft in a residents' parking scheme and monitor the situation.
- 6) Subject to recommendation 1 approve that the proposed school time no stopping restriction is not installed on Eden Road and the School Keep Clear marking is removed. Approve the proposed double yellow lines on Eden Road are installed as advertised.
- 7) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the installation of restrictions as proposed on Potters Green Road, apart from the proposal to remove an existing area of no waiting, Monday to Friday, 3pm-4.30pm, except buses restriction (currently marked with double yellow lines). Approve that the 'afternoon' restriction remains in operation and as part of the next waiting restriction review a change of this restriction to no waiting at any time is advertised. Once the changes have been made monitor and review.
- 8) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the installation of the proposed double yellow lines at the junctions within 'The Avenues' area. Do not install the other restrictions. Once the double yellow lines are installed, monitor to see if they have assisted and consult again about possible restrictions and introduce any new proposal as an experimental TRO.
- 9) Subject to recommendation 1 approve that the restrictions are implemented as proposed on Trossachs Road and High Park Close and install additional markings to assist with the positioning of vehicles in the layby outside 2-10 Trossachs Road.

- 10) Subject to recommendations 1 to 9, approve that the proposed Traffic Regulation Order is made operational.

Delete recommendation 5) above then the above Recommendations have been approved along with the following additional recommendations:

- 11) The restrictions advertised for Brownhill Green Road be removed from the process, with further consideration being given to the proposal in due course due to other issues raised
- 12) The restrictions advertised for Tremelay Drive and Ridefort Close be removed from the process to allow for further consultation with local residents and Ward Councillors.
- 13) That the residents of The Monks Croft be consulted about the possibility of being part of a residents parking scheme and that double yellow lines be installed on the Benedictine Road side of the grassed triangle and also on what was referred to as the short side of the triangle (which would be the side opposite 98 Benedictine Rd and 1 The Monks Croft)

Report 8

Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:-

- 1) Endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report, in response to the petitions received.

The above Recommendation has been approved.

Cabinet Member for Policy and Leadership – Thursday 24 January 2019

Report 4 Authority for Attendance at Conference

Recommendations:

To consider the attendance of the Lord Mayor, Councillor J Blundell, the Deputy Leader, Councillor A S Khan, and the Principal Private Secretary to the Lord Mayoralty at the 60th Anniversary of Twin City Relationship between Dresden and Coventry and Wroclaw from 11 to 14 February, 2019.

The above Recommendation was approved

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS**:-

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.